TENT COOPERATION TREAT

From the . INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY FXAMINING AUTHORITY

28 SEP 2004

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

09.01.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

Agazzani, Giampaolo

I-40141 Bologna

ITALIE

AĞAZZANI & ASSOCIATI S.R.L.

Via Dell'Angelo Custode, 11/6

C01.WO.1.05

PCT/IB 03/01203

International filing date (day/month/year)

02.04.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

03.04.2002

Applicant

CARLE & MONTANARI S.P.A.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9))

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer**

Micheli, M

Tel. +31 70 340-3606







INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

28 SEP 2004

						REC'D 12 JAN 2	004
Applicant's or agent's file reference C01.WO.1.05 FOR FURTHER ACT			CTION	See Notification Preliminary Exa	of Transmittal of Internation	onal	
			International filing date	(day/month	n/year)	Priority date (day/month/y	ear)
1.07,72 00,01200			02.04.2003		•	03.04.2002	
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65B11/28						
Applicant CARLE & MONTANARI S.P.A.							
1. Thi	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. Th	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
Th	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3. Thi	is repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:			
ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
i		Priority					
m		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, in	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability	/
IV		Lack of unity of invent	tion				
V	⊠	Reasoned statement citations and explanat	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w tions supporting such st	ith regard atement	I to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial	applicability;
VI		Certain documents cit					
VII			international application				
VII		Certain observations	on the international appl	lication			
Date of su	ubmissio	n of the demand		Date of	completion of th	is report	
03.11.2003			09.01.	2004			
Name and mailing address of the International Authorized Officer preliminary examining authority:					SISOE MICH		
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2							
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl				Vigilan	ite, M		
					ne No. +31 70 3	340-2902	SALI SORIO SORON

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/01203

I. B	asis	of the	report
------	------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages				
	1-1	1	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, Numbers				
	1-3	5	as originally filed			
	Dra	wings, Sheets				
	1/8-	8/8	as originally filed			
2.	With lang	th regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the guage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	ectide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.			
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/01203

5. 🛘	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

E

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-35

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-35

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-35

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 733 548 (NUOVA FIMA IMBALLAGGI) 25 September 1996

D2: US-A-3 899 865 (REVAZ LEON) 19 August 1975

D3: EP-A-0 769 453 (AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI) 23 April 1997

- 2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (see column 1, line 3-column 2, line 14; column 5, line 4 -column 8, line 40; column 10, line 52 -column 15, line 47; column 17, lines 14-43, figures 1-36) a wrapping machine for wrapping chocolate and similar products from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that it comprises only one rotary wrapping wheel head and in that the rotary wrapping wheel head comprises at least eight gripper means. Claim 1 further differs from D1 in that the folding modules for folding the wrapping material to carry out different wrapping styles are being independently operated.
- 2.1 The problem solved by these features is to simplify the construction of such wrapping machine and at the same time to produce different wrapping styles according to the specific production demand.
- 2.2 Document D2 discloses a wrapping machine whereby the wrapping head has eight gripper means. However there is no hint in D2 toward the effect of such feature nor the combination of D1 and D2 would lead to the wrapping machine according to claim 1.
- 2.3 Therefore the subject matter of clam 1 is new and inventive (Article 33(2), Rule 64(1)-64(3) PCT).
- 3. Since claims 2-35 are dependent on new and inventive claim 1, the subject matter of these claims is automatically deemed to be both novel and inventive (Article 33(2), Rule 64(1)-64(3) PCT).
- 4. The term "wrapping head" in claim 1 should be clarified and limited to "wrapping

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/IB03/01203 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

wheel head" as disclosed in the description and in the drawings. The term "wrapping head" as it stands now does not exclude the wrapping head described by D1, which would take away the novelty of claim 1.